Restaurant Management Corp. d/b/a Hardees 230 Nissley St. Middletown, PA 17057 717-267-0727 EIN # 52-1621892

FLSA Narrative Report

COVERAGE

The subject firm is a fast food restaurant located at 230 Nissley St Middletown, PA 17057 (717) 267-0727. The firm is registered as a corporation in the state of PA and is operated by Restaurant Management Corporation. RMC is majority owned by Ex. 7(C), Ex. 6 . Restaurant Management Corporations main office is located at 22 North 6thSt. Harrisburg, PA. 17201. There are 13 total locations. (See exhibit D-2-a). There are currently employees at the Middletown location. The investigation was expanded to include all 13 locations. All employees are covered on an enterprise basis under section 3(s)(1)(a) of the FLSA for SIP 07/31/2012 -07/30/2014. According to information provided by the Ex. 7(C), Ex. 6 the ADV for ; and in 2011 was Ex. 4 ; in 2012 was Ex. 4 2013 was Ex. 4 . (See exhibit C-1-b). Section 3(d) employer: Ex. 7(C), Ex. 6 . He is the employer as defined by section 3(d) of the Act. He is responsible for hiring and firing employees; setting schedules; setting employees' pay rates; and is involved in the daily operations of the business. **Prior history**:

CL CMP's for repeated CL violations computed, assessment

recommended. File to DO for appropriate action.

12/15/2005 - FLSA 3(s)(1)(a) Ex. 7(C), Ex. 6, Ex. 4

Future compliance agreed. CL CMP's computed \$23,595.00. File to DO for appropriate action. WH-1330 to firm.

EXEMPTIONS:

Section 13(b)(1) is claimed and applicable to the following employees

541.100-Executive-General Mgr. Assistant Manager - Paid a guaranteed salary of \$455 per week.

Manages Ex. 4 with the authority to hire/fire set schedules and do performance evaluations. (See exhibits B-2, B-4, B-6 and B-7)

STATUS OF COMPLIANCE:

Reason for Investigation: Ex. 7(C), Ex. 6, Ex. 7(D)

as described in regulations 541.

A violation as described in 541.705. The findings below are specific to this location, case # 1730187.

Findings:

<u>Section 6:</u> A review of existing payroll records and employee interviews revealed no violations. (See exhibits B-1 to B-9).

<u>Section 7A</u> review of existing payroll records revealed two different overtime violations for ξ employees.

The first violation occurred because between the period of 01/05/2013 and 07/12/2014 the firm had a .10 cent per hour uniform deduction that the firm continued to deduct in overtime work weeks. This resulted in a violation because 1) the employees did not receive their full time and a half rate for overtime premium and 2) the deductions were more in overtime work weeks than non-overtime work weeks. (See exhibit B-1- B-9)

Back wages were calculated as follows for the uniform deductions:

- 1. .10 cents x OT Hours per week = BW Due
- a. $.10 \times 13.88 = 1.38

A total of \$102.62 is due employee. (See exhibits A-3-a to A-3-f)

Ex employees' back wages were de minimus

Back wages were calculated as follows for the salaried managers

Salary / HW per week x $.5 = \frac{1}{2}$ of Reg Rate x OT Hours = BW Due

$$$650 / 45 \times 5 = $7.20 \times 5 = $36.11$$

A total of \$2,155.33 is due employees. (See exhibits A-4-a)

Section 11 Ex. 7(C), Ex. 6

the 541 exemption during the

training period.

<u>Section 12:</u> No violations revealed in the investigation as only Ex. 4

B-1 to B-9). Child labor bulletin 1330 was discussed and provided to the firm.

DISPOSITION:

A final conference was held at the establishment on 08/05/2014. The attendees were Ex. 7(C), Ex. 6

coverage was reviewed with the firm. Overtime and record keeping violations were discussed in detail. The firm agreed to Ex. 4 wide future compliance. The firm stated that the Ex. 4 was supposed to catch the uniform deductions in overtime work weeks and has corrected the error since the start of the investigation. The firm was also not aware of management not being eligible for the overtime exemption during training periods while they are not performing exempt duties. The firm has agreed to pay all non-exempt employees proper t ½ their regular rate for hours worked over 40 in a work week. As well as, keep a record of hours worked according to section 516.

Back Wage methodology was explained and the employer agreed to pay BWs by 08/25/2014. Ex. 7(C), Ex. 6 and explained the back wage payment procedure.

The firm was advised that the investigation was being expanded to all other locations.

The Ex. 7(C), Ex. was notified of the results of the investigation on 08/11/14 at 03:00 PM_

<u>Publications provided</u>: FS #28, #44, #77, HRG, Part 541,WH-1330.

<u>Recommendations:</u> I recommend this file be closed administratively upon receipt of back wages.

Ex. 7(C), Ex. 6

08/08/2014

WHISARD Compliance Action Report **U.S.** Department of Labor Wage and Hour Division Case ID: 1730187 Originating District: Wilkes Barre PA District Office Investigating. District: Wilkes Barre PA District Office Local Filing Number: **2014-349-07302** WHMIS Case Number: Lead Investigator: Ex. 7(C), Ex. 6 Registration Date: 05/27/2014 Assignment Date: 05/27/2014 **Employer Information** Trade Name: Hardee's of Middletown Legal Name: Restaurant Management Corp Address: EIN: 230 Nissley Street 52-1621892 County: Dauphin NAICS Code: 722211 No. Of Employees: E Middletown, PA17057 **Investigation Information** BNPI: 07/31/2012 Period Investigated From: To: 07/30/2014 Reinvestigation: $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ Investigation Type: Ex. 7(E) Recurring Violation: Investigation Tool: Full Investigation Future Compliance Agreed: \square Compliance Status: Agree to Comply Involved in AG: **Recommended Action:** BWFS: RO/NO Review: CMP: Follow Up Investigation: Litigation: Other Action: Civil Action: Denial of Future Certificate: Criminal Action: BW Payment Deadline: 08/25/2014 Trailer forms attached: Submit For Opinion: CL Violations EEs ATPBWs Computed BWs Agreed **Violation / Compliance Status** Ds Computed LDs Agreed CMPs* No Violation found for this \$0.00 \$0.00 act / Agree to Comply

Date: 12/27/2016 10:29:56 AM Case ID: 1730187 Page 1

WHISARD Compliance Action Report									
FLSA									
Violation / Compliance Status	Violations	Es ATPBV	Vs Computed	BWs Agreed	LDs Computed	LDs Agreed	CMPs*		
Failure to pay proper overtime / Agree to Comply	6	E	\$2,155.33	\$2,155.33	\$0.00	\$0.00			
Failure to keep accurate records / Agree to Comply	1	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			
FLSA Totals:	6	E	\$2,155.33	\$2,155.33	\$0.00	\$0.00			
Total Violations Under FLS	A:	7					\$0.00		
FMLA									
Violation / Compliance Status	Violations	Es ATPBV	Vs Computed	BWs Agreed	LDs Computed	LDs Agreed	CMPs*		
No Violation found for this act / Compliance (no violations found)					\$0.00	\$0.00			
FMLA Totals:	0	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			
				* CMPs comp	outed do not neces	sarily indicate CM	1Ps assessed.		
Unduplicated Employees Found: Total Amount BWs Computed: Total Amount LDs Computed:	\$2,155.33 \$0.00		Total Am	Unduplicated Employees Agreed: Total Amount BWs Agreed: Total Amount LDs Agreed:			\$2,155.33 \$0.00		
Conclusions & Recommer	ndations:								
E#12008 FLSA/CL 43.25 How exemptions denied during to Viols. Ex. 7(C), Ex. 6 by 08/25/2014. Pubs to firm:	urs. Ex. 7(C), raining perio	od. Did ne	on 08/05/2	2014. Firm AT	C/ATP. Bws o	s in OT W/W's lue \$2,155.33	to E EE's		

Date: 12/27/2016 10:29:56 AM Case ID: 1730187 Page 2

WHI Signature:	Date:	08/11/2014
Reviewed By:		

Date: 12/27/2016 10:29:56 AM Case ID: 1730187 Page 3