Order of the President of the General Court of 5 July 2013 — Zweckverband Tierkörperbeseitigung v Commission

(Case T-309/12 R)

(Interim measures — Payment of contributions to an association governed by public law — State aid — Obligation to recover — Application to suspend enforcement — Urgency)

(2013/C 260/74)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Zweckverband Tierkörperbeseitigung in Rhineland-Palatinate, Saarland, Rheingau-Taunus-Kreis and Landkreis Limburg-Weilburg (Rivenich, Germany) (represented by: A. Kerkmann, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: R. Sauer and T. Maxian Rusche, acting as Agents)

Interveners in support of the defendant: Saria Bio Industries AG & Co. KG (Selm, Germany); SecAnim GmbH (Lünen, Germany); and Knochen- und Fett-Union (KFU) GmbH (Selm) (represented by: U. Karpenstein and C. Johann, lawyers)

Re:

Application for suspension of enforcement of Commission Decision (2102/485/EU) of 25 April 2012 on State aid SA.25051 (C 19/10) (ex NN 23/2010) granted by Germany to the Zweckverband Tierkörperbeseitigung in Rhineland-Palatinate, Saarland, Rheingau-Taunus-Kreis and Landkreis Limburg-Weilburg (OJ 2012 L 236, p. 1).

Operative part of the order

- 1. The application for interim measures is dismissed.
- 2. Costs are reserved.

Order of the President of the General Court of 17 July 2013 — Borghezio v Parliament

(Case T-336/13 R)

(Interim relief — European Parliament — Measure excluding a Member of Parliament from his political group — Application to suspend enforcement — Manifest inadmissibility of the main action — Inadmissibility of the application — Lack of urgency)

(2013/C 260/75)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Mario Borghezio (Turin, Italy) (represented by: H. Laquay, lawyer)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: N. Lorenz, N. Görlitz and M. Windisch, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application to suspend the enforcement of the measure of the European Parliament taken in the form of a declaration of its President at the plenary session of 10 June 2013, according to which, as from 3 June 2013, the applicant is to be regarded as a non-attached member and is thus excluded from the political group 'Europe of Freedom and Democracy' as from that date.

Operative part of the order

- 1. The application for interim relief is rejected.
- 2. Costs are reserved.

Action brought on 27 June 2013 — Groupe Léa Nature/ OHIM — Debonaire Trading (SO'BiO ētic)

(Case T-341/13)

(2013/C 260/76)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: Groupe Léa Nature (Périgny, France) (represented by: S. Arnaud, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Debonaire Trading Internacional, Lda (Funchal, Portugal)

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Declare the application admissible;
- Annul decision R 203/2011-1 of the First Board of Appeal for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) of 26 March 2013, notified 18 April 2013;
- Order DEBONAIRE TRADING INTERNACIONAL LDA and OHIM each to bear the costs, which they have incurred in the course of the proceedings before the General Court.

Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: The applicant

Community trade mark concerned: The word mark 'SO'Bio ētic', for goods in classes 3, 24 and 25 — Community trade mark application No 6 827 281

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: The other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Mark or sign cited in opposition: Community trade mark registrations and United Kingdom trade mark registrations of the word mark 'SO...?' et al., for goods in classes 3 and 25

Decision of the Opposition Division: Rejected the opposition

Decision of the Board of Appeal: Annulled the contested decision and rejected the CTM application with respect to all goods claimed in classes 3 and 25

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 8 (1)(b) and (5) of CTMR.

Action brought on 28 June 2013 — Out of the blue/OHIM — Dubois et al. (FUNNY BANDS)

(Case T-344/13)

(2013/C 260/77)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: Out of the blue KG (Lilienthal, Germany) (represented by: G. Hasselblatt and D. Kipping, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Frédéric Dubois et al. (Lasne, Belgium)

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Overturn the Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of 4 April 2013, Case R 542/2012-2;
- Order OHIM to bear its own costs as well as the costs of the applicant;
- In the event that Mr. DUBOIS joins in these proceedings as an intervening party, order the intervening party to bear its own costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: The other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Community trade mark concerned: The word mark containing the word element 'FUNNY BANDS' for goods and services in classes 14, 17 and 35 — Community trade mark application No 9 350 794

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: The applicant

Mark or sign cited in opposition: German non-registered sign 'FUNNY BANDS' several goods and services and activities

Decision of the Opposition Division: Rejected the opposition

Decision of the Board of Appeal: Dismissed the appeal

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 8(4) of Council Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 4 July 2013 — Zentralverband des Deutschen Bäckerhandwerks v Commission

(Case T-354/13)

(2013/C 260/78)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Zentralverband des Deutschen Bäckerhandwerks e.V. (Berlin, Germany) (represented by: I. Jung, M. Teworte-Vey, A. Renvert and J. T. Saatkamp, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

 annul the defendant's decision of 8 April 2013 in the cases 'Kołocz śląski/Kołacz śląski' — Schlesischer Streuselkuchen (Ref. Ares [2013] 619104 — 10 April 2013).

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on two pleas in law.

- 1. First plea in law: incorrect legal basis
 - The applicant claims that the defendant erred in law in basing its decision concerning the applicant's request for cancellation of the registration of 'Kołocz śląski/Kołacz śląski' as a protected geographical indication on the new version of Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012, (¹) in force at the time of the defendant's decision, instead of on the old Regulation (EC) No 510/2006, (²) in force at the time when the applicant submitted its request. The defendant thereby infringed the principle of *tempus regit actum*.