

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Curtea de Apel Braşov (Romania) lodged on 12 February 2014 — Mihai Manea v Instituţia Prefectului — judeţul Braşov — Serviciul public comunitar regim permise de conducere şi înmatriculare a vehiculelor

(Case C-76/14)

(2014/C 151/12)

Language of the case: Romanian

Referring court

Curtea de Apel Braşov

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Mihai Manea

Defendant: Instituţia Prefectului — judeţul Braşov — Serviciul public comunitar regim permise de conducere şi înmatriculare a vehiculelor

Questions referred

1. Having regard to the provisions of Law No 9/2012 and to the purpose of the tax provided for under that law, must Article 110 TFEU be interpreted as precluding a Member State of the European Union from establishing a tax on pollutant emissions applicable to all foreign motor vehicles upon their registration in that Member State, but to national motor-vehicles upon the transfer of ownership of such vehicles, except where such a tax or a similar tax has already been paid?
2. Having regard to the provisions of Law No 9/2012 and to the purpose of the tax provided for under that law, must Article 110 TFEU be interpreted as precluding a Member State of the European Union from establishing a tax on pollutant emissions which is applicable, in the case of all foreign motor vehicles, upon their registration in that Member State, but which, in the case of national motor vehicles, is due only upon the transfer of ownership of such vehicles, the result being that a foreign vehicle cannot be used unless the tax is paid, but a national vehicle can be used for an unlimited time without the tax being paid, until the ownership of that vehicle is transferred, if such a transfer takes place?

Reference for a preliminary ruling from Court of Appeal (England & Wales) (Civil Division) (United Kingdom) made on 14 February 2014 — Union of Shop, Distributive and Allied Workers (USDAW), Mrs B. Wilson v WW Realisation 1 Ltd (in liquidation), Ethel Austin Ltd, Secretary of State for Business, Innovation and Skills

(Case C-80/14)

(2014/C 151/13)

Language of the case: English

Referring court

Court of Appeal (England & Wales) (Civil Division)

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicants: Union of Shop, Distributive and Allied Workers (USDAW), Mrs B. Wilson

Defendants: WW Realisation 1 Ltd (in liquidation), Ethel Austin Ltd, Secretary of State for Business, Innovation and Skills

Questions referred

- (1) (a) In Article 1(l)(a)(ii) of Directive 98/59/EC of 20 July 1998 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to collective redundancies ⁽¹⁾ ('the Directive'), does the phrase 'at least 20' refer to the number of dismissals across all of the employer's establishments in which dismissals are effected within a 90 day period, or does it refer to the number of dismissals in each individual establishment?