

Order of the General Court of 18 April 2016 — Zhang v EUIPO — K & L Ruppert Stiftung (Anna Smith)

(Case T-295/15) ⁽¹⁾

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the EU word mark Anna Smith — Earlier EU word mark SMITH — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Action in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly lacking any foundation in law)

(2016/C 200/29)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Yongyu Zhang (Manchester, United Kingdom) (represented by: M. Steinert, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) (represented by: S. Hanne, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO intervening before the General Court: K & L Ruppert Stiftung & Co. Handels-KG (Weilheim, Germany) (represented by: A. Kockläuner and O. Nilgen, lawyers)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 27 February 2015 (Case R 1559/2014-5) concerning opposition proceedings between K & L Ruppert Stiftung & Co. Handels-KG et M. Yongyu Zhang.

Operative part of the order

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Mr Yongyu Zhang to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 262, 10.8.2015.

Order of the General Court of 15 April 2016 — Impresa Costruzioni Giuseppe Maltauro v Commission

(Case T-320/15) ⁽¹⁾

(Public procurement — Withdrawal of the contested act — No need to adjudicate)

(2016/C 200/30)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Impresa Costruzioni Giuseppe Maltauro SpA (Vicenza, Italy) (represented by: M. Merola, C. Santacroce and C. Toniolo, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: D. Recchia and F. Dintilhac, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of the decision of 7 April 2015 of the Director General of the European Commission Joint Research Centre (JRC.B6/RL/Ares(2015)) excluding the applicant from participation in all procedures for the award of contracts and grants financed by the general budget of the European Union for a period of two years and 10 months.

Operative part of the order

The Court hereby orders:

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *Each party shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 254, 3.8.2015.

Order of the General Court of 4 April 2016 — L'Oréal v EUIPO — LR Health & Beauty Systems (LR)

(Case T-475/15) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Application for a declaration of invalidity — Withdrawal of the mark — No need to adjudicate)

(2016/C 200/31)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: L'Oréal SA (Paris, France) (represented by: R. Dissman, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: A. Kusturovic, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO intervening before the General Court: LR Health & Beauty Systems GmbH (Ahlen, Germany) (represented by: N. Weber and L. Thiel, lawyers)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 21 May 2015 (Case R 1143/2014-1), relating to invalidity proceedings between LR Health & Beauty Systems GmbH and L'Oréal SA.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *L'Oréal SA is ordered to bear its own costs and pay those incurred by the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) and by LR Health & Beauty Systems GmbH.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 328, 5.10.2015.

Order of the General Court of 18 March 2016 — CBM v OHIM — İD Group (Fashion ID)

(Case T-536/15) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Withdrawal of the opposition — No need to adjudicate)

(2016/C 200/32)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: CBM Creative Brands Marken GmbH (Zurich, Switzerland) (represented by: U. Lüken and J. Bärenfänger, lawyers)