

Order of the President of the General Court of 15 April 2020 — Anglo Austrian AAB and Belegging-Maatschappij 'Far-East' v ECB

(Case T-797/19 R-II)

(Interim measures — Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 — Prudential supervision of credit institutions — Decision to withdraw authorisation as a credit institution — Further application — Article 160 of the Rules of Procedure)

(2020/C 247/22)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicants: Anglo Austrian AAB AG, formerly Anglo Austrian AAB Bank AG (Vienna, Austria), Belegging-Maatschappij 'Far-East' BV (Velp, Netherlands) (represented by: M. Fischer, J. Willheim, M. Ketzer and O. H. Behrends, lawyers)

Defendant: European Central Bank (represented by: C. Hernández Saseta, E. Yoo and V. Hümpfner, agents)

Re:

Application based on Articles 278 and 279 TFEU seeking suspension of the implementation of the decision of 14 November 2019, ref: ECB-SSM-2019-AT-8, WHD-2019-0009, by which the European Central Bank withdrew the authorisation of Anglo Austrian AAB Bank AG as a credit institution from the date of notification of the decision.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the application.
2. Reserves the costs.

Order of the President of the General Court of 25 May 2020 — Isopix v Parliament

(Case T-163/20 R and T-163/20 R II)

(Application for interim relief — Public supply contracts — Provision of photography services — Application for suspension of operation of a measure — Partial manifest inadmissibility of the main action — Inadmissibility — Urgency — Prima facie case — Balancing of competing interests)

(2020/C 247/23)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Isopix SA (Ixelles, Belgium) (represented by: P. Van den Bulck and J. Fahner, lawyers)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: K. Wójcik and E. Taneva, acting as Agents)

Re:

Applications under Articles 278 and 279 TFEU requesting, in Case T-163/20 R, suspension of the operation of the Parliament's decision of 24 March 2020 informing the applicant that its tender for public contract COMM/DG/AWD/2019/854 had not been accepted and that the contract had been awarded to another tenderer; and that the General Court order the Parliament to produce the tender analysis report and, in Case T-163/20 R II, suspension of the operation of the Parliament's decision of 17 April 2020 informing the applicant that its tender for public contract COMM/DG/AWD/2019/854 had been rejected on the ground that it did not fulfil the selection criteria relating to financial and economic standing.