

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs including the costs incurred in the course of the appeal proceedings.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 10 August 2016 — sheepworld v EUIPO (Bester Papa)**(Case T-451/16)**

(2016/C 364/28)

*Language of the case: German***Parties**

Applicant: sheepworld AG (Ursensollen, Germany) (represented by: S. von Rüden, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Trade mark at issue: European Union word mark 'Bester Papa' — Application for registration No 14 169 213

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 26 May 2016 in Case R 94/2016-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs including the costs incurred in the course of the appeal proceedings.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 10 August 2016 — sheepworld v EUIPO (Beste Freundin)**(Case T-452/16)**

(2016/C 364/29)

*Language of the case: German***Parties**

Applicant: sheepworld AG (Ursensollen, Germany) (represented by: S. von Rüden, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Trade mark at issue: European Union word mark 'Beste Freundin' — Application for registration No 14 169 916

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 26 May 2016 in Case R 96/2016-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs including the costs incurred in the course of the appeal proceedings.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 5 August 2016 — Arrigoni v EUIPO — Arrigoni Formaggi (Arrigoni Valtaleggio)

(Case T-454/16)

(2016/C 364/30)

Language in which the application was lodged: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Arrigoni SpA (Rome, Italy) (represented by: P. Di Gravio, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Arrigoni Formaggi SpA (Bergamo, Italy)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: International registration designating the European Union in respect of the figurative mark containing the word element 'Arrigoni Valtaleggio' — International registration designating the European Union No 1 028 737

Procedure before EUIPO: Proceedings for a declaration of invalidity

Contested decision: Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 19 May 2016 in Case R 2922/2014-1

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- uphold the action and, accordingly, declare the contested decision invalid and/or inexistent on the following grounds, remitting, if appropriate, the case to the decision-making bodies of EUIPO or declaring the first decision No C 406 A of 17 April 2013 valid and final;