

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Allergan Holdings France SAS (Courbevoie, France)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: European Union word mark JUVÉDERM — European Union trade mark No 5 807 169

Procedure before EUIPO: Cancellation proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 19 December 2018 in Case R 2630/2017-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO and the other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal to bear their own costs and pay those of the applicant for annulment at every stage of the action for revocation and appeal proceedings, including the cost of these proceedings.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 18 of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 20 February 2019 — Louis Vuitton Malletier/EUIPO — Wisniewski (Representation of a chequerboard pattern)

(Case T-105/19)

(2019/C 139/87)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Louis Vuitton Malletier (Paris, France) (represented by: P. Roncaglia, G. Lazzeretti, N. Parrotta and F. Rossi, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Norbert Wisniewski (Warsaw, Poland)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the General Court

Trade mark at issue: International registration designating the European Union in respect of the figurative mark representing a chequer-board pattern — International registration designating the European Union No 2 829 851

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 22 November 2018 in Case R 274/2017-2

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs incurred by the applicant during these proceedings;
- order Norbert Wisniewski to pay the costs incurred by the applicant in these proceedings.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 7(3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 59(1)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 59(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 20 February 2019 — ACRE v Parliament

(Case T-107/19)

(2019/C 139/88)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Alliance of Conservatives and Reformists in Europe (ACRE) (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: E. Plasschaert and E. Montens, lawyers)

Defendant: European Parliament