

**Judgment of the Court of Justice (Third Chamber) of 13 June 2019 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Amtsgericht Darmstadt — Germany) — TopFit e.V., Daniele Biffi v Deutscher Leichtathletikverband e.V.**

(Case C-22/18) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Citizenship of the Union — Articles 18, 21 and 165 TFEU — Rules of a sports association — Participation in the national championship of a Member State by an amateur athlete holding the nationality of another Member State — Different treatment on the basis of nationality — Restriction on free movement)*

(2019/C 263/11)

Language of the case: German

**Referring court**

Amtsgericht Darmstadt

**Parties to the main proceedings**

Applicants: TopFit e.V., Daniele Biffi

Defendant: Deutscher Leichtathletikverband e.V.

**Operative part of the judgment**

Articles 18, 21 and 165 TFEU must be interpreted as precluding rules of a national sports association, such as those at issue in the main proceedings, under which an EU citizen, who is a national of another Member State and who has resided for a number of years in the territory of the Member State where that association, in which he runs in the senior category and in an amateur capacity, is established, cannot participate in the national championships in those disciplines in the same way as nationals can, or can participate in them only ‘outside classification’ or ‘without classification’, without being able to progress to the final and without being eligible to be awarded the title of national champion, unless those rules are justified by objective considerations which are proportionate to the legitimate objective pursued, this being a matter for the referring court to verify.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 123, 9.4.2018.

---

**Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 6 June 2019 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Cour du travail de Liège — Belgium) — V v Institut national d’assurances sociales pour travailleurs indépendants (Inasti), Secorex Integrity ASBL**

(C-33/18) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Coordination of social security systems — Migrant workers — Regulation (EC) No 883/2004 — Transitional provisions — Article 87(8) — Regulation (EEC) No 1408/71 — Article 14c(b) — Worker exercising an activity as an employed and an activity as a self-employed person in different Member States — Derogations from the principle of a single applicable national legislation — Double affiliation — Application to be subject to the legislation applicable under Regulation No 883/2004)*

(2019/C 263/12)

Language of the case: French

**Referring court**

Cour du travail de Liège

**Parties to the main proceedings**

Applicant: V

Defendant: Institut national d'assurances sociales pour travailleurs indépendants, Securex Integrity ASBL

**Operative part of the judgment**

Article 87(8) of Regulation (EC) No 883/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the coordination of social security systems, as amended by Regulation (EC) No 988/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009, must be interpreted as meaning that a person who, at the date of application of Regulation No 883/2004, exercised as activity as an employed person in one Member State and an activity as a self-employed person in another Member State, thereby being simultaneously subject to legislations applicable to social security in those two Member States, should not, in order to be subject to the legislation applicable under Regulation No 883/2004, as amended by Regulation No 988/2009, submit an express application to that effect.

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 112, 26.3.2018.

---

**Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 12 June 2019 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Conseil d'État — Belgium) — Compagnie d'entreprises CFE SA v Région de Bruxelles-Capitale**

(Case C-43/18) (<sup>1</sup>)

*(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Environment — Directive 2001/42/EC — Assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment — Order — Designation of a special area of conservation in accordance with Directive 92/43/EEC — Establishment of conservation objectives and certain preventive measures — Notion of 'plans and programmes' — Obligation to undertake an environmental assessment)*

(2019/C 263/13)

Language of the case: French

**Referring court**

Conseil d'État

**Parties to the main proceedings**

Applicant: Compagnie d'entreprises CFE SA

Defendant: Région de Bruxelles-Capitale