

**Judgment of the General Court of 19 September 2019 — Zhejiang India Pipeline Industry v Commission**(Case T-228/17) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Dumping — Imports of certain stainless steel tube and pipe butt-welding fittings, whether or not finished, originating in China and Taiwan — Imposition of definitive anti-dumping duties — Normal value — Adjustments — Manifest error of assessment — Obligation to state reasons)*

(2019/C 399/49)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Zhejiang India Pipeline Industry Co. Ltd (Wenzhou, China) (represented by: S. Hirsbrunner, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: T. Maxian Rusche, N. Kuplewatzky and E. Schmidt, acting as Agents)

*Intervener in support of the defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented by: B. Driessen and H. Marcos Fraile, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Application under Article 263 TFEU for annulment of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/141 of 26 January 2017 imposing definitive anti-dumping duties on imports of certain stainless steel tube and pipe butt-welding fittings, whether or not finished, originating in the People's Republic of China and Taiwan (OJ 2017 L 22, p. 14).

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Zhejiang India Pipeline Industry Co. Ltd to pay, in addition to its own costs, those incurred by the European Commission;
3. Orders the Council of the European Union to bear its own costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 195, 19.6.2017.

**Judgment of the General Court of 20 September 2019 — Dehousse v Court of Justice of the European Union**(Case T-433/17) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Access to documents — Court of Justice of the European Union — Documents held by an institution in the exercise of its administrative functions — Application for access submitted by a former judge of the General Court — Partial refusal of access — Non-contractual liability of the European Union)*

(2019/C 399/50)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Franklin Dehousse (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: L. Levi and S. Rodrigues, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Court of Justice of the European Union (represented by: J. Inghelram, Á. Almendros Manzano and V. Hanley-Emilsson, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

First, application based on Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment of the decisions of the Court of Justice of the European Union of 18 and 22 May 2017 rejecting the applications for access to certain documents, submitted by the applicant on 27 January 2017 and 14 December 2016 respectively, and, second, application based on Article 268 TFEU seeking compensation for the non-material damage the applicant claims to have suffered as a result of the alleged misconduct on the part of the Court of Justice of the European Union when it refused to grant his applications for access to information, submitted between 15 July and 10 August 2016.

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. *Annuls the decision of 18 May 2017 which rejected the second confirmatory application for access to certain documents made by Mr Franklin Dehousse, to the extent that that decision refused access to 'exchanges, in both directions, between President Skouris, or his Head of Cabinet, and all German public authorities between 2011 and 2015 inclusive';*
2. *Dismisses the action as to the remainder;*
3. *Orders each party to bear its own costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 300, 11.9.2017.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 19 September 2019 — Arysta LifeScience Netherlands v Commission**

(Case T-476/17) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Plant protection products — Active substance diflubenzuron — Review of approval — Article 21 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 — Rights of the defence — Ultra vires — Manifest error of assessment — Procedure for renewal of approval — Article 14 of Regulation No 1107/2009 — Imposition, in the context of the review procedure, of additional restrictions limiting the use of the active substance at issue without waiting for the outcome of the renewal procedure — Proportionality)**

(2019/C 399/51)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Arysta LifeScience Netherlands BV (Amsterdam, Netherlands) (represented by: C. Mereu and M. Grunchard, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: A. Lewis, I. Naglis and G. Koleva, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Application under Article 263 TFEU for annulment of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/855 of 18 May 2017 amending Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 as regards the conditions of approval of the active substance diflubenzuron (OJ 2017 L 128, p. 10).