

**Appeal brought on 9 November 2021 by Eos Products Sàrl against the judgment of the General Court (Sixth Chamber) delivered on 8 September 2021 in Case T-489/20, Eos Products Sàrl v European Union Intellectual Property Office**

**(Case C-672/21 P)**

(2022/C 222/12)

*Language of the case: German*

**Parties**

*Appellant:* Eos Products Sàrl (represented by: S. Stolzenburg-Wiemer, Rechtsanwältin)

*Other party to the proceedings:* European Union Intellectual Property Office

By order of 4 February 2022, the Court of Justice of the European Union (Chamber determining whether appeals may proceed) decided that the appeal should not be allowed to proceed and ordered the appellant to bear its own costs.

---

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Visoki trgovački sud Republike Hrvatske (Croatia) lodged on 30 November 2021 — UDRUGA KHL MEDVEŠČAK ZAGREB**

**(Case C-727/21)**

(2022/C 222/13)

*Language of the case: Hungarian*

**Referring court**

Visoki trgovački sud Republike Hrvatske

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Appellant:* UDRUGA KHL MEDVEŠČAK ZAGREB

**Questions referred**

1. Is the rule laid down in the second part of the first sentence and in the second sentence of Article 177(3) of the Sudski poslovnik (Rules of Procedure of the Courts, *Narodne novine*, br. 37/14, 49/14, 8/15, 35/15, 123/15, 45/16, 29/17, 33/17, 34/17, 57/17, 101/18, 119/18, 81/19, 128/19, 39/20 and 47/20), which provides that ‘a case before a court of second instance shall be deemed to be closed on the date on which the decision is sent from the court office, after the case has been returned by the Registration Service. The Registration Service shall be required to return the file to the court office as promptly as possible after receipt thereof. The decision shall then be notified within a further period of eight days’, to be considered compatible with Article 19(1) TEU and Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union?
2. Is Article 40(2) of the Zakon o sudovima (Law on judicial bodies), which provides that ‘the legal position adopted at the meeting of all the judges or of a section of the Vrhovni sud Republike Hrvatske (Supreme Court, Croatia), of the Visoki trgovački sud Republike Hrvatske (Commercial Court of Appeal, Croatia), of the Visoki upravni sud Republike Hrvatske (Administrative Court of Appeal, Croatia), of the Visoki kazneni sud Republike Hrvatske (Criminal Court of Appeal, Croatia), du Visoki prekršajni sud Republike Hrvatske (Higher Misdemeanour Court, Croatia) and of the meeting of a section of a Županijski sud (County Court, Croatia) shall be binding on all the chambers or judges at second instance of that section or court’ compatible with Article 19(1) TEU and Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union?