

5. The General Court misinterpreted the precautionary principle and/or erred in law and/or distorted the evidence on record thus reaching a wrong legal conclusion when holding that the Commission conducted a proper impact assessment under the precautionary principle. The Commission failed to conduct any impact assessment, but simply concluded 'that the risks and issues identified overrule the impact of possible loss of the substance'. The Commission could have at least specified the elements allegedly taken into account to reach such conclusion.

-
- (¹) Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/1500 of 9 October 2018 concerning the non-renewal of approval of the active substance thiram, and prohibiting the use and sale of seeds treated with plant protection products containing thiram, in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market, and amending Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 (OJ 2018 L 254, p. 1).
- (²) Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (OJ 2009, L 309, p. 1).
- (³) Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 844/2012 of 18 September 2012 setting out the provisions necessary for the implementation of the renewal procedure for active substances, as provided for in Regulation No 1107/2009 (OJ 2012 L 252, p. 26).

Order of the President of the Court of 11 March 2022 — European Commission v Federal Republic of Germany

(Case C-57/20) (¹)

(2022/C 222/34)

Language of the case: German

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

-
- (¹) OJ C 87, 16.3.2020.

Order of the President of the Third Chamber of the Court, acting as President of the Ninth Chamber of 4 March 2022 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Landesgericht Korneuburg — Austria) — Airhelp Limited v Austrian Airlines AG

(Case C-164/20) (¹)

(2022/C 222/35)

Language of the case: German

The President of the Ninth Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

-
- (¹) OJ C 230, 13.7.2020.

Order of the President of the Second of the Court of 1 February 2022 — ViaSat, Inc. v European Commission, Inmarsat Ventures SE, formerly Inmarsat Ventures Ltd

(Case C-235/20 P) (¹)

(2022/C 222/36)

Language of the case: English

The President of the Second Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

-
- (¹) OJ C 9, 11.1.2021.
-