

- a contribution to the costs of the proceedings amounting to 10 % of the fines imposed, provided that that contribution is not excessive having regard to the actual cost of such proceedings and does not infringe the right of access to the courts enshrined in Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 433, 14.12.2020.

**Order of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 26 April 2022 (request for a preliminary ruling from the
Apelativen sad — Sofia — Bulgaria) — Criminal proceedings against VD**

(Case C-654/20) ⁽¹⁾

*(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Article 53(2) of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice —
Transport — Directive 2006/126/EC — Driving licences — Charter of Fundamental Rights of the
European Union — Article 49 — Principles of legality and proportionality of criminal offences and
penalties — Driving a vehicle during the period of suspension of the driving licence — Penalties —
Factual context of the dispute in the main proceedings — Reasons justifying the need for a reply to the
questions referred for a preliminary ruling — Absence of sufficient information — Manifest
inadmissibility)*

(2022/C 368/03)

Language of the case: Bulgarian

Referring court

Apelativen sad — Sofia

Party to the main criminal proceedings

VD

Operative part of the order

The request for a preliminary ruling made by the Apelativen sad Sofia (Court of Appeal, Sofia, Bulgaria), by decision of 9 November 2020, is manifestly inadmissible.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 79, 8.3.2021.

**Order of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 8 July 2022 (request for a preliminary ruling from the
Okresný súd Bratislava I — Slovakia) — Criminal proceedings against AM**

(Case C-710/20) ⁽¹⁾

*(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Article 53(2) of the Rules of Procedure of the Court — Charter of
Fundamental Rights of the European Union — Field of application — Article 51 — Legislative procedure
for the adoption of a resolution relating to the revocation of an amnesty — Judicial procedure for review of
the compliance of that resolution with the national Constitution — Failure to implement EU law — Clear
lack of jurisdiction of the Court)*

(2022/C 368/04)

Language of the case: Slovak

Referring court

Okresný súd Bratislava I

Party in the main proceedings

AM,

Intervening party: Krajská prokuratúra v Bratislave