Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: R.T.

Defendant: Hauptzollamt Hamburg

Operative part of the judgment

Articles 30 and 60 of Council Directive 2006/112/EC of 28 November 2006 on the common system of value added tax, as amended by Council Directive (EU) 2018/2057 of 20 December 2018

must be interpreted as meaning that:

for value added tax purposes, the place of importation of a vehicle registered in a third country and imported into the European Union in breach of customs legislation is situated in the Member State in which the person who failed to comply with customs obligations resides and actually uses the vehicle.

(¹) OJ C 382, 20.9.2021.

Judgment of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 8 September 2022 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Svea hovrätt — Sweden) — IRnova AB v FLIR Systems AB

(Case C-399/21) (¹)

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Judicial cooperation in civil matters — Jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters — Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 — Article 24(4) — Exclusive jurisdiction — Jurisdiction over the registration or validity of patents — Scope — Patent application deposited and patent granted in a third State — Status of inventor — Proprietor of the right to an invention)

(2022/C 408/24)

Language of the case: Swedish

Referring court

Svea hovrätt

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: IRnova AB

Defendant: FLIR Systems AB

Operative part of the judgment

Article 24(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2012 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters,

must be interpreted as:

not applying to proceedings aimed at determining, in the context of an action based on alleged inventor or co-inventor status, whether a person is the proprietor of the right to inventions covered by patent applications deposited and by patents granted in third countries.

(¹) OJ C 368, 13.9.2021.