Appeal brought on 18 January 2022 by José Antonio Santos Cañibano and Others against the order of the General Court (Eighth Chamber) delivered on 24 November 2021 in Case T-649/21, Santos Cañibano and Others v Commission

(Case C-38/22 P)

(2022/C 408/31)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Appellants: José Antonio Santos Cañibano, Ruth Hompanera Lanzós, Judith Lapresa Isla, José Manuel Arias García, María del Mar Barba Barba, María Isabel Bernárdez Rodríguez, Mónica Camara Torio, Adriana Canella Suárez, Eladio Cano Nieto, Pilar Carbajales García, Luis De Miguel Ribón, Luis Ángel Díaz Suárez, Daniel Fernández González, Cristina Fernández Somoano, Pedro García Parada, Inmaculada Garcia Perez, Susana González González, Pedro Óscar González Menéndez, José Manuel González Riopedre, Carlos Ángel Lazo Reguera, Salvador Llorens García, José Luis Lozano Garrido, Fernando Luiña Vela, Miguel Mera Vega, Abel Miravalles Pindas, Rafael Munguria Rubio, María Montserrat Muñiz Fernández, Aurora Nicolás Herreros, Verónica Pereira Torres, Ernesto Real Arias, Javier Rodríguez Lana, María Belén Rodríguez Menéndez, José Javier Rodríguez Mier, Concepción Rodríguez Rodríguez, María Elena Rodríguez Suárez, Alejandro Sánchez Gión, José Luis Santos Lobato, Susana Solís García, María de los Ángeles Ugido López, María Elvira Vega Fernández, Isabel María Vilalta Suárez, Antonio Villabela Pataño, María José Fernández Gutiérrez, Lourdes Cano Nieto (represented by: B. González González, abogada)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission

By order of 6 September 2022, the Court of Justice (Eighth Chamber) dismissed the appeal as manifestly unfounded and ordered the appellants to bear their own costs.

Appeal brought on 18 January 2022 by Enol Velasco Granda and Others against the order of the General Court (Eighth Chamber) delivered on 24 November 2021 in Case T-658/21, Velasco Granda and Others v Commission

(Case C-39/22 P)

(2022/C 408/32)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Appellants: Enol Velasco Granda, María José Díaz Rodríguez, Silvia García Miguélez, Beatriz González Carvajal, Antonia Trinidad González Castro, Isabel Merediz Gutiérrez, María Miranda García, Ana Moreira Varillas, Lucía Villa Gutiérrez (represented by: B. González González, abogada)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission

By order of 6 September 2022, the Court of Justice (Eighth Chamber) dismissed the appeal as manifestly unfounded and ordered the appellants to bear their own costs.

Appeal brought on 18 January 2022 by Ramón Baides Fernández and Others against the order of the General Court (Eighth Chamber) delivered on 24 November 2021 in Case T-659/21, Baides Fernández v Commission

(Case C-40/22 P)

(2022/C 408/33)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Appellants: Ramón Baides Fernández, Alberto Baranda Álvarez, José Luis Bermúdez Cuetos, Juan Carlos Campos Menéndez, María Gloria Díaz Blanco, José Vicente Galán Soto, María José González Delgado, María Rosario López Rodríguez, Erundina Prieto Álvarez, Mónica Regueira Álvarez, Patricia Sánchez Caballero (represented by: B. González González, abogada)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission

By order of 6 September 2022, the Court of Justice (Eighth Chamber) dismissed the appeal as manifestly unfounded and ordered the appellants to bear their own costs.

Appeal brought on 4 March 2022 by Plataforma de Trabajador@s Temporales del Ayuntamiento de Zaragoza (PTTAZ) against the order of the General Court (Eighth Chamber) delivered on 27 January 2022 in Case T-736/21, PTTAZ v Commission

(Case C-195/22 P)

(2022/C 408/34)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Appellant: Plataforma de Trabajador@s Temporales del Ayuntamiento de Zaragoza (PTTAZ) (represented by: B. González González, abogada)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission

By order of 6 September 2022, the Court of Justice (Eighth Chamber) dismissed the appeal as manifestly unfounded and ordered the appellants to bear their own costs.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Landgericht Berlin (Germany) lodged on 16 June 2022 — VT, UR v Conny GmbH

(Case C-400/22)

(2022/C 408/35)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Landgericht Berlin

Parties to the main proceedings

Appellants: VT, UR

Respondent: Conny GmbH

Question referred

Is it compatible with the second subparagraph of Article 8(2) of Directive 2011/83/EU (¹) if a national provision (in the present case, Paragraph 312j(3), second sentence, and (4) of the BGB in the version in force from 13 June 2014 to 27 May 2022) is to be interpreted as meaning that its scope, like that of the second subparagraph of Article 8(2) of Directive 2011/83/EU, also covers a case in which the consumer is not unconditionally obliged to pay the trader at the time of the conclusion of the contract by electronic means, but only under certain further conditions — for example, exclusively in the event that a legal action which the trader has been instructed to bring is subsequently successful, or in the event that formal notice is subsequently given to a third party?

⁽¹) Directive 2011/83/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2011 on consumer rights, amending Council Directive 93/13/EEC and Directive 1999/44/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Directive 85/577/EEC and Directive 97/7/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ 2011 L 304, p. 64).