

# Statistics don't lie—but they can be misleading

September 23 2025, by Catherine Couturier

---



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

When it comes to deciding how significant a statistic is, Université de Montréal education professor Sébastien Béland is clear: "Our goal is to change practices in order to improve quality in the social sciences and

humanities," he said.

The standard measure of statistical significance is the p-value, which describes the statistical probability of obtaining the observed results. But this is a number that is often poorly understood—not only by the media and the general public but also by the academic community.

"Much science of all kinds—the social sciences, the humanities, [health sciences](#)—relies on the p-value," Béland noted. "But for years, researchers have been urging caution. We can't say something is or isn't a discovery on this basis alone."

The flurry of research during the COVID-19 pandemic, and the intense media attention given to the findings, had some unfortunate results, he said. "Things went sideways in many cases. Results were misinterpreted and it led to poor public policy."

That's when he really became interested in the p-value.

In June, with Michael Cantinotti of Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières and Denis Cousineau of the University of Ottawa, Béland published an [article](#) on the subject in the journal *Canadian Psychology/Psychologie canadienne*.

Twenty-four co-signatories from various university faculties and departments added their voices, including several from UdeM (Christian Bourassa and Christophe Chénier, education; Stéphanie Forté and Quoc Dinh Nguyen, medicine; Éric Lacourse, sociology; Floris Van Vugt, psychology).

"We wanted to take a stand and make an impact," Béland said.

And while most [scholarly articles](#) on methodology are in English, this

article is in French. "It's important to publish in French," Béland observed. "It has been shown that it's easier to learn a complicated subject in your mother tongue."

## **The pitfalls of the p-value**

The p-value is calculated in relation to the null hypothesis, the scenario in which there is no connection between the sets of data being studied. The lower the p-value, the more likely it is that the results are statistically significant and that any observed effects are not due to chance.

However, the p-value threshold at which results can be considered significant is arbitrary when applied in the social sciences. "Ronald Fisher, one of the fathers of contemporary statistics, set the figure at 0.05 [or 5%]," Béland explained.

"He said you could be confident below that threshold. However, it has become a super-rigid standard for interpreting results and deciding whether they are statistically significant, whereas there are many nuances and gray areas in statistics."

In some disciplines, scientists aim to obtain a p-value of less than 0.05 before claiming to have made a discovery. "But that's not at all what 0.05 means," said Béland. "We need to reconsider this interpretation and develop a deeper understanding of how we use statistics."

## **Keep it in perspective**

Should the p-value be abandoned in the social sciences? Not at all, he and his co-authors say. What's needed is simply more nuance. "The p-value doesn't tell the whole story; it's part of the scientific picture,"

Béland argued.

He believes scientists in disciplines other than statistics should think more critically about methodology: "It's become routine to draw the line at 0.05. But results above that line can also be meaningful."

Béland plans to use this article in his classes to make his students aware of the issue. He is also thinking of writing a book on how to read and report statistics, as they are often misunderstood and misreported in the media.

"Journalists have little training in this area, and scientists aren't always helpful," he noted. "It can lead to overblown interpretations."

In the end, "I'm not saying we should abandon the p-value, but we have to keep it in perspective," he said. "It's important, but it isn't always the final word."

**More information:** Sébastien Béland et al, Pourquoi tout ce bruit autour de la valeur p ? Quelques pistes de compréhension pour le non-expert., *Canadian Psychology / Psychologie canadienne* (2025). [DOI: 10.1037/cap0000413](https://doi.org/10.1037/cap0000413)

Provided by University of Montreal

Citation: Statistics don't lie—but they can be misleading (2025, September 23) retrieved 1 October 2025 from <https://phys.org/news/2025-09-statistics-dont.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.
---